## Proto-Austronesian Transitivity Revisited: Reviewing the Philippine Evidence

## Ricardo Ma. Nolasco

## University of the Philippines-Diliman

It is our contention that the Philippine evidence provides many of the missing clues on how the Philippine "focus" system may have evolved into the Indonesian and Oceanic types. The evidence consists of the following: (a) an ergative case and voice system in almost all Philippine languages; (b) aside from this, a clitic coreferencing system in some languages like Kapampangan, the Sambal languages, Ilokano (to a certain extent) and T'boli; (c) a set of semantic, pragmatic and discourse motivations in accounting for a Philippine speaker's choice of voice, case and constituent order; (d) definite markers for `non-focused' or oblique patients exemplified in the Mindanao-wide Manobo languages; (e) an impoverished but still ergative voice and case marking system found in T'boli, Teduray and Blaan; and (f) unequivocal anti-passive constructions in Ilokano, Karao, Pangasinan and Yakan. The conclusions that the evidence appears to warrant are that: (1) PAN was most probably ergative in its morphosyntax; (2) the motivations for speaker's choice of voice, case and word order in the Philippine system likewise apply to Indonesianand Oceanic-type speakers (but not to the same degree); and (3) the changes in morphosyntax from the original ergative PAN to the not-soergative Indonesian- and Oceanic-types represent functional changes related to the semantic, pragmatic and discourse motivations mentioned above in (c) and in (2).

## **SELECTED REFERENCES**

- Cooreman, Ann. 1994. A functional typology of antipassives. In *Voice: Form and function*, ed. by Barbara A. Fox and Paul J. Hopper, 49-88. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Dixon, R.M.W. 1979. Ergativity. Language 55:59-74.
- Du Bois, John W. 1987. The discourse basis of ergativity. Language 63: 805-855.
- Hopper, Paul, and Sandra Thompson. 1980. Transitivity in grammar and discourse. Language 56 (2):251-299.
- Kemmer, Suzanne. 1993. The middle voice. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Li, Charles N., and Sandra A. Thompson. 1976. Subject and topic: a new typology of language. In *Subject and topic*, ed. by Charles N. Li, 457-489. New York: Academic Press.
- Klaiman, Miriam H. 1988. Affectedness and control: A typological study of voice systems. In Shibatani, 1988.
- Mithun, Marianne. 1994. The implications of ergativity for a Philippine voice system. In *Voice: Form and function*, ed. by Barbara Fox and Paul J. Hopper, 247-277. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

- Mithun, Marianne, and Wallace Chafe. 1999. What are S, A, and O? Studies in Language 23(3): 569-596.
- Schachter, Paul, and Fe Otanes. 1972. Tagalog reference grammar. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Shibatani, Masayoshi. 1991. Grammaticalization of topic into subject. In Approaches to grammaticalization, Vol. 2, ed. by E. Traugott and B. Heine, 92-133. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Starosta, Stanely, Andewe Pawley, and Lawrence A. Reid. 1982. The evolution of focus in Austronesian. In *Papers from the Third International Conference of Austronesian Linguistics*, ed. by A. Halim, L. Carrington, and S.A. Wurm, 140-170. Canberra: Research School of Pacific and Asian Studies, Australia National University.
- Wolff, John U. 1973. Verbal inflection in proto-Austronesian. In Parangal kay Cecilio Lopez, ed. by Andrew Gonzalez, 71-91. Quezon City: Linguistic Society of the Philippines.